Division of Health Care Finance and Policy

Fiscal Year 1991

Inpatient Hospital Discharge Database Documentation Manual

Division of Health Care Finance and Policy Two Boylston Street Boston, Massachusetts 02116-4704

http://www.mass.gov/dhcfp

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
General Comments	1
SECTION I. 1. Data Quality Standards 2. General Data Definitions 3. General Data Caveats 4. Specific Data Elements	3 4 5 6 7
SECTION II.	9
1. List of Hospitals Who Returned Response A Verification Statements	10
SECTION III. 1. List of Hospitals Who Returned Response B Verification Statements 2. Hospital Specific Written Responses	12 13
SECTION IV. 1. List of Hospitals By Category of Reported Data Errors a. Number of Discharges b. Month of Discharge c. Discharges by Payor d. Length of Stay e. Number of Diagnosis Codes Used Per Patient f. Number of Procedure Codes Used Per Patient g. Type of Admission h. Age i. LOA Patients j. LOA Days k. Disposition l. Accommodation Charges m. Ancillary Charges n. Source of Admission o. Sex p. Total Days q. Race r. Discharges by DRG s. Discharges by MDC	48 49 49 49 49 49 50 50 50 50 50 51 51 51 51
s. Discharges by MDC SECTION V. List of Hospitals That Did Not Respond	52
SECTION VI. Unacceptable Data File	54

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION VII	
1. Attachment I – Response Sheet A	57
2. Attachment II – Response Sheet B	58
3. Attachment III – Type A and Type B Errors	59
4. Attachment IV – Summary of Verification Report Package	61
5. Attachment V – Listing of Hospital DPH Numbers	62

General Comments

The General Documentation of the fiscal year 1991 merged case mix and charge data is designed to provide researchers with an understanding of the data quality issues connected with the data elements they may decide to examine.

The General Documentation is divided into seven (7) sections.

Section I: Describes the basic data quality standards as contained in 114.1 CMR 17.00 **Requirement for the Submission of Case Mix and Charge Data** (referred to as the 17.00 Regulation); general data definitions, general data caveats, and specific data elements.

As the case mix and charge data plays a significant role in a hospital's reimbursement, the Massachusetts Rate Setting Commission (MRSC) required hospitals to use Response Sheet A (see Attachment I) to certify the correctness of their data as it appeared on the verification report or to use Response Sheet B (see Attachment II) to certify that the hospital found discrepancies in the data and was submitting written corrections that provided an accurate profile of the hospital's fiscal year 1991 discharges.

Section II: Lists hospitals which returned Response Sheet A to certify the correctness of their data as it appears on the database.

<u>Section III</u>: Lists hospitals which returned Response Sheet B to certify that they found discrepancies in their data and submitted written corrections to the Commission. Responses and explanations for the noted differences are also provided.

When reviewing the hospitals' comments regarding DRG discrepancies, researchers should be mindful of how discharges are grouped into Diagnostic Related Groups (DRGs) by the MRSC. The MRSC uses HCFA approved HIS software that is comparable to HCFA Version II Grouper Software. Although Version VIII of the HCFA Grouper was in effect for fiscal year 1991 clinical data, the MRSC continued to group data using Version II software for the purpose of reimbursement. Please be reminded that the MRSC calculates reimbursement on the basis of an aggregate of a hospital's case mix adjusted discharges, unlike Medicare, which reimburses a specific payment for each case in an individual DRG. To calculate reimbursement it is necessary to group the clinical data in the same manner for the rate year (fiscal year 1991) as was done for the base period (third and fourth quarters of fiscal year 1984, plus the first and second quarters of fiscal year 1985). Please note that under Chapter 23, fiscal year 1991 is the rate year. However, under the new chapter 495, fiscal year 1991 becomes the base year for subsequent years' price cap purposes.

General Comments - Continued

The Version II Grouper mapping methodology does not recognize the annually revised ICD-9-CM procedure and diagnosis codes because it was originally designed to use 1984 ICD-9-CM coding practices. Therefore, it is necessary to convert the newer ICD-9-CM codes to those acceptable to Version II. Using an ICD-9-CM Conversion Table software that was developed by Utilization Information Systems of the Massachusetts Hospital Association (MHA) and approved by the Commission, the MRSC mapped the pertinent 1991 code into a clinically representative code that was in effect prior to 1991. New codes that did not affect the DRG assignment were not included on the conversion table.

This mapping was done internally for the purpose of DRG assignment and for reimbursement and in no way alters the original ICD-9-CM codes that appear on the database. These codes remain on the database as they were reported by the hospital.

The hospital's profile of cases, as grouped by version II, is part of the verification report. It is this grouped profile on which the hospitals commented. The Commission urged hospitals to use the same version of grouper software as was used by the MRSC. Some hospitals were able to do this; others were not. Some hospitals did the comparison using HCFA's Version VIII of the Grouper. In those cases, the issue of DRG discrepancies is distorted. Please note in Section IV which version was used by the hospital when reviewing this information.

<u>Section IV</u>: Identifies categories where discrepancies were found. Each category is listed separately and the names of the hospitals reporting discrepancies for the specific category are listed with it. If the user wishes to review specific corrections reported by a given hospital, he/she should refer to that hospital's specific comments in Section III.

<u>Section V</u>. Lists hospitals that did not respond to the Rate Setting Commission's verification report project.

Section VI: Lists hospitals which have not submitted four quarters of acceptable data.

NOTE: In fiscal year 1991, all hospitals met the requirement of the 17.00 Regulation for all four quarters. As a result, this section of "Unacceptable Data" contains no data.

Section VII: Provides Attachments I through V.

SECTION I.

- Data Quality Standards
 General Data Definitions
- 3. General Data Caveats
- 4. Specific Data Elements

Data Quality Standards

Hospitals submitted the merged case mix and charge data 120 days after the end of each quarter. The data was edited using the modified Integrated Data Demonstration (IDD) software developed under the IDD Pilot Project funded by a federal grant. Required data elements and corresponding edits are specified in the 17.00 Regulation.

The data was edited for compliance of a one percent error rate as set forth in the 17.00 Regulation. For each tape submission, hospitals received error reports displaying invalid discharge information. For each hospital, quarterly data which did not meet the one percent compliance standard was resubmitted by the individual hospital until such a standard was met. All hospitals met this one percent error rate standard for all four quarters of fiscal year 1991.

The one percent error rate was based on the presence of Type A and Type B errors as follows:

- Type A: One error per discharge caused rejection of the discharge.
- Type B: Two errors per discharge caused rejection of the discharge.

(See Attachment III for a listing of the data elements categorized by error type.) The edits specified by the 17.00 Regulation are not clinical edits. The edits primarily check for valid codes, correct formatting, and presence of required data elements.

When the majority of hospitals had met this compliance standard for all four quarters of their data, the yearly data was run through a series of frequency reports as part of a quality assurance/verification project. The intent of this project was to present hospitals with a profile of their data as held by the Commission. Hospitals were asked to verify selected data elements including number of days, number of discharges, amount of charges by accommodation and ancillary center, and listing of Diagnostic Related Groups (DRGs). (See Attachment IV for a description of the contents of the hospital verification report package.) Hospitals were asked to certify their data and to submit any written caveats to accompany the copies of the data released to qualified researchers.

The hospital specific responses are provided in this general documentation. The frequency reports are available for inspection. To examine this information, please contact the Division by fax at (617)727-7662.

General Data Definitions

Before turning to an examination of specific data elements, several basic data definitions (as contained in the 17.00 Regulation) and general data caveats should be noted.

Case Mix Data:

Case specific, discharge data which includes both clinical data, such as medical reason for admission, treatment, and services provided to the patient, and duration and status of the patient's stay in the hospital; and socio-demographic data, such as expected payor, sex, race, and patient zip code.

Charge Data

The full undiscounted total and service specific charges billed by the hospital to the general public.

Ancillary Services

The service and their definitions as specified in the Commonwealth of Massachuetts <u>Hospital Uniform Reporting Manual</u> (HURM). (And as specified by the reporting codes and mapping scheme as listed in the 17.00 Regulation.)

Routine Services

The services and their definitions as specified in HURM S3241, promulgated under 114.1 CMR 4.00. Reporting codes are defined in 114.1 CMR 17.06(2)(a).

Special Care Units

The units which provide patient care of a more intensive nature than provided to the usual medical, obstetric, or pediatric patient. These units are staffed with specially trained nursing personnel and contain monitoring and specialized support equipment for patients who require intense, comprehensive care. Special care units shall include, but not be limited to, those units specified in 114.1 CMR 17.06(2)(b).

Leave of Absence

The count in days of a patient's absence with physician approval during a hospital stay without formal discharge and readmission to the facility.

General Data Caveats

Information is not entirely consistent from hospital to hospital due to inherent differences in:

- Effort spent on collecting and verifying patient supplied information before or at admission;
- Effort spent on completing discharge abstracts prior to coding by medical staff (ability of medical records staff to collect complete, accurate diagnostic and procedural information easily from doctors or charts);
- Effort spent on medical record abstraction, consistency, completeness;
- Extent of hospital data processing capabilities;
- Flexibility of hospital data processing systems;
- Commitment to quality; "representative" merged case mix and charge data;
- Capacity of financial processing system to record and/or reflect "late" charges to the Rate Setting Commission Tape.

These general data caveats stem from information gathered through conversations with members of the Rate Setting Commission Case Mix Data Advisory Group (CMDAG), staff at the Massachusetts Health Data Consortium (MHDC), and the numerous and various admitting, medical record, financial, administrative, and data processing personnel who call to comment upon and question our procedural requirements during the process.

The following points provide additional information for users regarding the process of collecting and editing the data.

- 1. The Case Mix data in Massachusetts has been collected since FY1978. Fiscal Year 1983 was the "start-up" year for the submission of merged case mix and charge data.
- 2. The deadline for quarterly submission was extended from 90 to 120 days after the end of the quarter to enable more complete abstraction and compilation of financial and medical record information.
- 3. Error reports and frequency reports have been sent to the hospitals with ample opportunity to resubmit the data.
- 4. The Case Mix Data Advisory Group was established in 1983 to provide a forum for information exchange between hospitals, vendors, and the Commission.
- 5. Significant Commission staff time has been spent on documenting technical issues, problems and questions and verifying hospital supplied information in an attempt to discover and understand any major problem with the merged data.

General Data Caveats - Continued

Essentially, the Commission staff has attempted to respond to the various inconsistencies brought to our attention.

The <u>case mix data</u>, for the most part, is abstracted from discharge summaries derived from information gathered upon admission regarding expected payor status or derived from information entered by admitting and attending physicians into the medical record. In some hospitals, the Rate Setting Commission's diagnostic and procedural information may be summarized from incomplete discharge abstracts. More importantly, the principal diagnosis or procedure may be influenced by ambiguous or even illegible medical records, third party reimbursement policies, extent and experience of the medical record staff, as well as the sophistication of the DRG maximizing software employed by the hospital. Also, medical record coding expertise may not be consistent between hospitals.

The <u>charge data</u> is equally problematic. Certain hospitals did not have the capacity to add late charges to the Rate Setting Commission tape within the 120 day limit. In many hospitals "days billed" or "accommodation charges" do not equal the length of stay or the days that the patient spent in the hospital. Many hospitals have mentioned that their charges are a reflection of their pricing strategy and are not a reflection of resources spent in patient care delivery; therefore, charges cannot be used to compare hospitals.

Specific Data Elements

The purpose of the following section is to provide the user with explanations of some data elements included in the 17.00 Regulation and to give a sense of their reliability.

MDPH Hospital Computer Number

The Massachusetts Department of Public Health four digit number. (See Attachment V.)

Patient Race

In the third and fourth quarters of fiscal year 1984, race codes were expanded to include Asian, Hispanic, and American Indian. Due to misconceptions regarding the collection of race information, the Rate Setting Commission has worked toward correcting the problem. A statement from the Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination was sent to all hospital administrators. This statement explained that asking for race information was voluntary and not a form of discrimination.

How accurate the reporting of this data element is for a given hospital is difficult to ascertain; therefore the user should be aware that the distribution of patients for this data element may not represent an accurate grouping of a given hospital's population.

Leave of Absence (LOA) Days

The hospitals are required to report these days to the Commission if they are used. At present, there is no way for the Commission to verify the use of these days if they are not reported. Therefore, the user should be aware that the completeness of this category relies solely on the accuracy of a given hospital's reporting practices.

SECTION II.

<u>Hospital Listing</u>: Verified Hospital Information As Complete and Accurate as it Appears on the FY1991 Database (Response A)

Amesbury Hospital

Atlanticare Medical Center

Berkshire Medical Center

Boston City Hospital

Brigham & Women's Hospital

Brockton Hospital

Cape Cod Hospital

Cardinal Cushing Hospital

Cooley Dickinson Hospital

Fairview Hospital

Falmouth Hospital

Franklin Medical Center

Hale Hospital

Henry Heywood Memorial Hospital

Holyoke Hospital

Lawrence General Hospital

Lawrence Memorial Hospital

Leominster Hospital

Leonard Morse Hospital

Lowell General Hospital

Malden Hospital

Marlborough Hospital

Mary Lane Hospital

Massachusetts Eye & Ear Infirmary

Medical Center of Central Massachusetts

Melrose-Wakefield Hospital

Mercy Hospital

Milton Medical Center

Morton Health Foundation, Inc.

Mount Auburn Hospital

Nantucket Cottage Hospital

Nashoba Community Hospital

New England Baptist Hospital

New England Memorial Hospital

Newton-Wellesley Hospital

Norwood Hospital

Providence Hospital

St. Anne's Hospital

St. Elizabeth's Hospital (Please see hospital comments in Section III)

Saint Vincent

South Shore Hospital

Symmes Hospital

J. B. Thomas Hospital

Tobey Hospital

University Hospital

Whidden Memorial Hospital

Wing Memorial Hospital

SECTION III.

<u>Hospital Listing: Discovered Discrepancies in Case Mix Data and Provided Detailed</u> Adjustments (Response B)

Baystate Medical Center

Beth Israel Hospital

Children's Hospital

Dana Farber Cancer Institute

Emerson Hospital

Faulkner Hospital

Framingham Union Hospital

Goddard Memorial Hospital

Harrington Memorial Hospital

Holy Family Hospital

Hubbard Regional Hospital

Lahey Clinic Hospital

Ludlow Hospital Society

Martha's Vineyard Hospital

Massachusetts General Hospital

New England Deaconess Hospital

Noble Hospital

North Adams Regional Hospital

St. John's of Lowell Hospital

Somerville Hospital

Sturdy Memorial Hospital

UMass Medical Center

Waltham/Weston Hospital

Winthrop Hospital

NOTE: St. Elizabeth's Hospital submitted Response A, but with comments which are included in this section.

<u>Baystate Medical Center</u> Explanation of Discrepancies

Baystate Medical Center reported discrepancies in the area of Race. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Race		
Hispanic	20	4,456
Other	4,456	20

Beth Israel Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Beth Israel Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of DRGs, # of Diagnosis Codes Used per Patient, # of Procedure Codes used per Patient, and MDCs. The hospital submitted the following letter as an explanation, which referenced the 4th quarter case mix merge tape.

Due to the new conversion on September 13, 1991, our year-end verification programs detected a large number of accounts with primary and no secondary ICD-9 codes because it did not copy the secondary ICD-9 codes for accounts that were already billed and in the Accounts Receivables systems. The DRGs were valid, but any analysis/processing which was the ICD-9s is adversely affected, including the rate setting data. The case mix index change after reviewing the updated records through is as follows:

FY91	CMI before ICD-9 update	= 1.562
FY91	CMI after ICD-9 update	= 1.594
	% Change	= 2.05%

Children's Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Children's Hospital reported discrepancies in the area of Payor. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Source of Payment		
Self Pay	980	968
Medicare	125	150
Medicaid	3,864	3,910
Other Govt.	444	544
Blue Cross	3,744	3,775
Commercial	3,904	3,774
НМО	3,296	3,207
Other	0	29

<u>Dana Farber Cancer Institute</u> <u>Explanation of Discrepancies</u>

Dana Farber Institute reported discrepancies in the areas of DRGs and MDCs. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

MRSC	Hospital
13	12
	29
85	95
	520
	1
114	111
	59
10	0
10	11
84	86
39	41
87	81
79	78
7	8
2	0
	0
4	5
4	0
0	1
	250
	190
	0
10	9
	19
	0
42	4
0	6
0	200
	133
0	3
	96
	530
	174
	11
	91
	97
	6
	776
	0
	13 28 85 517 0 114 555 10 10 84 39 87 79 7 2 11 4 4 0 538 200 4 10 18 1

Explanation of Discrepancies

Emerson Hospital reported discrepancies in the area of LOA Days. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
LOA Days		
	200	395

Faulkner Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Faulkner Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas # of Discharges, Type of Admission, Source of Admission, Month of Discharge, Age, Race, Payor, LOA Patients, LOA Days, MDCs, Length of Stay, Disposition, DRGs, # of Diagnosis Codes Used per Patient, and # of Procedure Codes Used per Patient. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
		-
# Discharges		
	7,267	7,266
Type of Admission		
Emergency	5,591	5,990
Source of Admission		
Physician Referral	2,644	2,643
Month of Discharge		
November	615	614
Age		
45-64	1,528	1,527
70-74	683	682
>=85	963	964
Race		
White	6,470	6,476
Black	494	495
Unknown	129	122
Hispanic	127	126
Source of Payment		
Self-Pay	242	241
Medicare	3,628	3,626
Blue Cross	829	828
Commercial Ins.	710	714
НМО	1,312	1,311
Total Days		
	53,952	53,959
LOA Days		
	29	41
LOA Patients		
	8	6

Faulkner Hospital - Continued

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Length of Stay		
1 Day	995	994
2 Days	674	675
3 Days	752	751
4 Days	724	725
5 Days	1,120	1,119
8 Days	338	339
11-19 Days	790	787
> = 20 Days	512	514
Disposition		
Home Health Care	859	858
# Diagnosis Codes/Pt.		
4 Codes	968	963
5 Codes	1,090	1,088
6 Codes	3,108	2,945
7 Codes	0	131
8 Codes	0	27
9 Codes	0	5
# Procedure Codes/Pt.		
1 Code	2,454	2,453
2 Codes	1,395	1,396
3 Codes	836	835
4 Codes	540	539
5 Codes	590	566
6 Codes	0	12
7 Codes	0	9
8 Codes	0	3
9 Codes	0	1
Discharges per DRG		
1	6	5
14	120	121
96	139	138
97	12	13
152	33	32
Discharges per MDC		
(including DRG 468-470)		
MDC 6	826	825
Discharges per MDC		
(excluding DRG 468-470)		
MDC 6	810	809

Framingham Union Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Framingham Union Hospital reported discrepancies in the area of Payor. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Discharges by Payor		
Self Pay	804	605
Workers Comp	87	86
Medicare	3,550	3,526
Medicaid	1,014	1,310
Blue Cross	1,745	1,769
Commercial Ins.	844	2,204
НМО	3,738	3,896
Other	1,614	4

Goddard Memorial Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Goddard Memorial Hospital reported discrepancies in the area of # of Discharges. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
No. of Discharges		
	11,379	11,398

<u>Harrington Memorial Hospital</u> Explanation of Discrepancies

Harrington Memorial Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of Length of Stay and Accommodation Charges. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Length of Stay		
Med/Surg (Routine)	10,347,646	10,347,998
Other	352	0
Accommodation Days		
Med/Surg (Routine)	28,630	28,631
Other	1	0

<u>Holy Family Hospital</u> Explanation of Discrepancies

Holy Family Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of Type of Admission, Age, and Payor. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Type of Admission		
Emergency	5,180	5,179
Urgent	967	968
Age		
Newborn	1,026	1,027
65-69	693	692
Payor		
Self Pay	500	505
Medicare	3,671	3,661
Medicaid	1,573	1,578
Commercial	1,462	1,461
НМО	986	987

<u>Hubbard Regional Hospital</u> <u>Explanation of Discrepancies</u>

Hubbard Regional Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas # of Discharges, Type of Admission, Month of Discharge, Payor, Disposition, and Ancillary Charges. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
# Discharges		
	2,134	2,135
Month of Discharge		
July	180	181
Payor		
Medicare	1,296	1,297
Medicaid	154	114
Blue Cross	227	158
Commercial	312	285
Type of Admission		
Urgent	1,204	1,206
Elective	262	261
Disposition		
Home	1,672	1,637
Acute Care	298	88
Home Health	1	396
Against Advice	40	38
Ancillary Charges		
Labor & Delivery	27	0
Renal Dialysis	193	0
Other	32,894	33,114

<u>Lahey Clinic Hospital</u> <u>Explanation of Discrepancies</u>

Lahey Clinic Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of # of Discharges, Type of Admission, Source of Admission, Month of Discharge, Age, Sex, Race, Payor, Length of Stay, Disposition, DRGs, # of Diagnosis Codes Used per Patient, # of Procedure Codes Used per Patient, Accommodation Charges, Ancillary Charges, and MDCs. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
# Discharges		
	11,850	11,851
Type of Admission		
Urgent	5,316	5,317
Source of Admission		
Clinic Referral	6,355	6,356
Month of Discharge		
September	958	959
Age		
45-64	4,051	4,052
Sex		
Female	5,543	5,544
Race		
White	10,133	10,134
Payor		
Blue Cross	2,601	2,602
Total Days		
	84,755	84,766
Length of Stay		
11-19 Days	1,467	1,468
Disposition		
Home	10,661	10,662
No. Diagnosis Codes/Pt.		
6 Codes	2,380	2,381
No. Procedure Codes/Pt.		
3 Codes	1,582	1,583
Accommodation Charges		
Total	50,014,300	50,019,375
Med/Surg (Routine)	41,374,800	41,378,175
Med/Surg (Special)	8,639,500	8,641,200
Accommodation Days		
Med/Surg (Special)	8,139	8,141

Lahey Clinic Hospital - Continued

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Ancillary Charges		
Total	68,791,455	67,018,732
Pharmacy (250)	7,385,602	7,391,591
IV Therapy (260)	1,778,331	1,778,816
Med/Surg Supplies (270)	9,945,959	9,950,937
Laboratory (300)	12,302,280	12,307,141
Diagnostic Radio. (320)	3,577,584	3,578,425
Therapeutic Radio. (330)	161,708	162,717
CAT Scanner (350)	1,096,495	1,097,650
Surgical Service (360)	15,627,816	13,837,622
Anesthesiology (370)	639,557	639,632
Blood (380)	1,496,280	1,496,436
Blood Storage (390)	1,418,742	1,418,978
Respiratory Therapy (410)	2,254,549	2,254,763
Physical Therapy (420)	799,948	800,160
Occupational Therapy (430)	89,050	89,034
Emergency Room (450)	1,321,201	1,316,168
Recovery Room (710)	2,313,472	2,313,971
E.K.G. (730)	2,286,131	2,287,941
Discharges/DRG		
DRG 107	124	125
Discharges/MDC (Incl.		
DRGs 468-470)		
MDC 5	2,354	2,355
Discharges/MDC (Excl.		
DRGs 468-470)		
MDC 5	2,312	2,313

<u>Ludlow Hospital Society</u> <u>Explanation of Discrepancies</u>

Lulow Hospital Society reported discrepancies in the areas of Source of Admission and Ancillary Charges. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Source of Admission		
ICF	103	0
Other	14	117
Ancillary Charges		
Cardiac Cather.	9,718	0
Other	7,300	17,018

Martha's Vineyard Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Martha's Vineyard Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of LOA Patients and LOA Days. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
LOA Patients		
	1	0
LOA Days		
	2	0

<u>Massachusetts General Hospital</u> <u>Explanation of Discrepancies</u>

Massachusetts General Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of DRG and Days. The hospital submitted the following letter of explanation.

We chose to use Response B.

After fully reviewing the Massachusetts General Hospital's merged case mix and charge verification report, we have identified two areas in which there appears to be discrepancies in the data.

Similar to FY1990, we encountered an inconsistency related to the cardiac DRG distributions between RSC and MGH. This variance was expected and we have determined that our discrepancy is due to a difference in our mapping software.

The second area relates to a 65 day discrepancy between the total counted days (page 4) and the total chargeable days (page 6). According to the accommodation charge information, the total of routine and special care accommodation days and LOS reconcile to our total days figure of 304,986. We have assumed the difference in the total chargeable days (page 6) and total days (page 4) is due to a variance in counting methodologies. We are presently working to resolve this difference.

In conclusion, we cannot agree that the tapes are completely accurate and we will be working with you to resolve these outstanding issues.

New England Deaconess Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

New England Deaconess Hospital reported discrepancies in the area of Payor. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Payor		
Self Pay	331	320
Worker's Comp	65	63
Medicare	5,134	5,159
Medicaid	630	650
Blue Cross	2,830	2,853
Commercial	3,111	2,080
HMO	215	1,199

The Trustees of Noble Hospital, Inc. Explanation of Discrepancies

Noble Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of Payor, DRGs, Accommodation Charges, and Ancillary Charges. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Payor		
Medicaid	240	245
Other Govt	8	5
Other	4	2
Routine Accommodation		
Charges		
Total Charges	11,325,936	11,259,719
Med/Surg	7,095,196	7,161,079
Other	132,100	0
Total Days (Routine &		
Special)		
	29,243	26,788
Total Ancillary Charges		
	10,617,663	10,687,795

North Adams Regional Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

North Adams Regional Hospital reported discrepancies in the area of Accommodation Days. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Routine Accommodation		
Days		
Total Days	30,524	32,206
Med/Surg	23,124	23,636
Obstetrics	137	1,205

St. Elizabeth's Hospital Response

St. Elizabeth's Hospital submitted a Response Sheet A in response to the data verification process, indicating that the data contained in the FY1991 merged case mix and charge data verification report was accurate and complete. However, the hospital's Chief Financial Officer also submitted a letter as follows.

In response to your request to verify the St. Elizabeth's Hospital merged case mix/billing data for FY1991 we have validated the data and provided background information on the charge data for those who will be using these reports in the future. We feel it is essential that users recognize the limitations of these data.

In our testing of the validation data, we found both the general statistical data and the DRG counts based on the Version-2 grouper to be generally consistent with internal reports generated by the ACH Computer Center and U.I.S. For users of these data, we would emphasize that other FY1991 DRG reports with which they are comparing or trending data may be based on Grouper Version-8. It is important to be alert to the differences in specific DRG counts which result from annual changes in the Grouper logic, with some DRGs split with medical conditions assigned to some DRGs redefined. The annual Grouper changes have a strong impact on the data for the affected disease categories.

As you are aware, it is essential to recognize in any use of this information that it is not correct to make comparisons with similar data in other St. Elizabeth's Hospital reports or with similar data from other hospitals, without first reconciling all data on a line item by item basis. Simplistic Comparisons of these FY1991 case mix/charge data among hospitals cannot result in conclusions that are credible for FY1991 much less valid for FY1992 for a variety of reasons which include the following:

1. Medical Records Documentation

Historically, medical records were intended primarily for use by Physicians. With changes in the reimbursement system they have become the primary means of documenting the need for all resources used in caring for the patient. The systems to provide this documentation of complete diagnostic information, including differences in the severity of illness of patients with the same diagnosis, are under development. They therefore vary in their level of sophistication from hospital to hospital.

As a result, comparative case mix complexity indices may reflect differences in coding practices among hospitals, in addition to differences in the type of patient treated. Further, the DRG Patient Classification System is inadequate to document differences in the severity of illness, or in the stage of disease, of patients who fall within the same DRG. The DRG system has been demonstrated to be completely inadequate, for example, for cancer, trauma, and psychiatry patients. Clearly, these factors affect the resources needed to care for patients,

St. Elizabeth's Hospital Response - Continued

1. Medical Records Documentation - Continued

and require further development and documentation. The DRG system is being refined to better reflect casemix differences.

2. Charge Structures Vary significantly among hospitals and from year to year

-Services included in the charge structure differ among hospitals within any given year. For example: Physician components may be included in one hospital's charge while in another it may not. If Hospital A pays its Radiologists for reading X-Rays, Radiology charges will be included with other patient charges. On the other hand, at Hospital B the physicians may be billing the patients directly and these charges will not be included in the Hospital's accounts.

-An individual hospital's charge structure may change substantially from year to year. Since the revenue cap applies only to total revenue, each hospital is free to adjust charges as it deems appropriate within the literally thousands of accounts. To comply with the revenue cap, hospitals may make charge adjustments at various times during the year (monthly, quarterly, or once annually). One hospital may decide to address compliance by adjusting only room and board charges. Another may adjust all charges across the board.

3. <u>Inaccuracies of cost comparisons that depend on cost/charge ratios (RCCs) when</u> these data are used in conjunction with data in the 403 cost reports.

a. RCCs – The RCCs do not in any way reflect true costs. They are at best estimates of average costs net of income recoveries. They are influenced by the various methodologies among hospitals for grouping accounts included in the 403 cost centers, by the various allocation methodologies that are employed, and by the series of issues referenced above related to differences in hospital charge structures.

For example:

- (1) One hospital may generate twice as much parking income as another hospital of similar size. The full cost of the parking operations at the two may be the same, but clearly the cost/charge ratios will differ.
- (2) Since RCCs reflect only average costs, they break down further when small numbers are involved, as they are at the procedural level. True costs of procedures will vary with the time or day of the week, depending on such factors as differences in the staffing involved, comparable procedures performed at the same time, etc. An Open Heart procedure scheduled in advance is less costly that one performed on an emergency basis on a weekend evening. Averages also break down in looking at incremental costs.

St. Elizabeth's Hospital Response – Continued

The cost of performing the "next" Open Heart Procedure will be much less at an institution with high levels of fixed costs rather than in a hospital with high levels of variable costs.

b. <u>Differences in data accumulation in the 403 Cost Report and the Case Mix/Billing Tapes</u>

Analysis of these charge data in conjunction with financial data in the 403 Cost Reports is further complicated by the differences in data accumulation for these reports, which are generated for different uses. Two major factors result in data, which are not comparable:

(1) There are differences in the cut-off points. The Case Mix system accumulates data on the basis of all charges accumulated prior to a patient's discharge, while the 403 accumulates charges posted to patient accounts with dates of service within a fiscal year.

Impact: The 403 Report includes charges posted to patient accounts before the patient is discharged; final billing must be completed before charges accumulate on the Case Mix tape.

(2) The cost center summary level grouping of accounts defined for the Case Mix tapes differ from various groupings used by individual hospitals in preparing their 403 Cost Reports. These differences will vary from year to year, and among different hospitals in any given year.

St. John's Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

St. John's Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of DRGs and MDCs. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
DRG		
1	21	20
2	6	4
4	8	7
5 7	29	31
	4	3
8	11	8
10	10	9
11	2	3
18	6	7
19	4	6
24	43	39
25	26	30
26	22	24
28	13	8
29	3	8
31	6	3
32	9	12
34	8	7
35	2	3
42	10	13
55	5	6
63	0	2
64	3	2
69	11	12
75	28	16
76	12	20
77	3	4
79	40	45
80	2	5
82	40	42
83	7	6
85	7	5
86	2	4
87	15	2
88	84	86
89	259	211
	1	1

Category	MRSC	Hospital
•		
DRG		
90	33	49
92	5	4
94	19	17
96	151	128
97	52	67
99	14	13
100	20	22
101	10	7
110	25	19
111	3	1
113	9	13
114	5	7
115	3	2
116	32	33
118	3	4
120	9	10
121	124	110
122	58	48
123	32	31
124	5	6
126	8	9
127	275	284
130	34	37
131	9	14
134	17	16
138	92	77
139	20	36
140	230	243
141	34	32
142	19	31
143	131	133
144	17	23
145	4	3
146	11	8
149	10	12
150	11	14
151	2	3
152	29	6
153	6	2

Category	MRSC	Hospital
DRG		
154	21	10
157	22	12
158	8	9
159	9	8
160	3	4
161	29	18
162	18	30
164	6	5
165	12	13
168	17	1
169	41	4
172	18	19
174	79	91
175	7	17
176	5	7
177	10	12
178	7	8
179	19	20
180	32	27
181	12	17
182	162	154
183	92	113
185	6	8
187	5	2
188	17	22
191	3	4
192	4	1
193	5	4
195	23	22
197	71	47
198	80	101
199	2	3
201	2	3
208	13	18
209	67	70
210	59	48
211	0	7
213	4	3
214	23	21

Category	MRSC	Hospital
DRG		
215	32	34
217	11	10
218	16	17
219	36	35
221	4	2
222	14	16
223	11	8
224	31	22
225	56	50
226	2	4
227	5	13
228	0	13
229	30	23
231	20	21
232	6	8
233	3	2
234	8	9
239	27	29
243	64	69
244	7	3
245	1	5
247	10	5
250	4	2
251	6	9
253	19	12
254	14	21
257	25	19
258	4	10
259	8	6
260	4	6
263	12	10
264	1	2
265	5	4
266	3	2
270	8	9
271	11	13
272	3	1
273	0	2
277	44	40

Category	MRSC	Hospital
DRG		
278	22	28
280	15	14
281	2	3
283	0	2
284	5	3
287	6	5
292	10	4
294	59	50
296	103	87
297	6	25
298	18	20
304	5	3
305	1	3
306	9	4
307	2	5
308	3	4
309	0	2
310	27	26
311	5	6
315	1	4
318	5	6
320	69	65
321	16	21
323	46	41
324	13	16
325	10	8
331	8	14
336	84	55
337	17	45
340	5	6
341	0	1
354	32	3
355	14	1
356	19	15
357	1	4
358	10	6
359	1	24
360	2	6
366	7	6
367	0	1
201	V	1

Category	MRSC	Hospital
DRG		
385	3	2
390	3	1
392	6	4
394	3	1
395	37	40
398	7	6
400	4	3
401	2	6
403	21	12
404	2	3
408	2	4
413	4	3
415	12	10
416	52	59
419	10	9
420	1	3
424	2	1
426	2	3
435	2	3
439	4	3
441	6	7
442	17	6
443	10	8
444	9	6
445	5	9
446	2	3
449	47	49
450	38	35
452	13	9
468	124	25
470	1	0

Somerville Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Somerville Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of # of Discharges and DRGs. The hospital has provided the following corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
# Discharges		
	1,439	1,447
DRGs		
27	5	4
28	27	26
29	3	5
34	7	6
35	1	2
55	1	2
68	9	8
69	0	1
75	22	21
76	7	8
87	51	52
89	122	121
90	4	5
101	7	8
127	148	147
135	0	1
138	48	47
139	5	6
148	48	47
159	11	12
161	28	29
167	15	16
172	9	10
177	7	5
178	3	5
179	1	2
182	50	51
183	15	16
188	8	9
197	32	30
198	11	12
207	15	14
208	8	9
209	30	31

Somerville Hospital - Continued

Category	MRSC	Hospital
DRG		
210	31	30
214	25	24
215	17	18
217	17	16
222	4	5
229	2	3
235	1	2
253	33	32
254	12	13
262	0	1
264	1	2
277	85	80
278	24	29
296	94	90
297	6	7
304	4	3
305	0	1
308	1	0
310	25	26
323	24	23
324	4	5
326	1	2
331	4	3
358	21	20
360	1	2
377	1	0
395	6	8
403	6	5
413	15	13
416	57	56
419	8	9
430	27	26
440	6	7
442	22	24
449	30	29
450	13	14
468	53	55
470	4	0

Sturdy Memorial Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Sturdy Memorial Hospital reported discrepancies in the area of Ancillary Charges. The hospital has provided the following additional corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Ancillary Charges		
Diagnostic Radiology (320)	2,056,743	2,126,743
Surgical Service (360)	2,478,614	2,543,614
Recovery Room (710)	897,979	762,979
EKG (730)	113,620	898,595
EKG (740)	787,417	27,417

<u>UMass. Medical Center</u> <u>Explanation of Discrepancies</u>

UMass. Medical Center reported discrepancies in the areas of Source of Admission and Length of Stay. The hospital has provided the following additional corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Length of Stay		
11-20 Days	1,803	1,896
> 20 Days	1,248	1,155
Source of Admission		
HMO Referral	0	50
Clinic Referral	3,557	3,507

Waltham-Weston Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Waltham-Weston Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of # of Discharges, Month of Discharge, and DRGs. The hospital has provided the following additional corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Number of Discharges		
	8,555	8,556
Month of Discharge		
April	718	719
Discharges per DRG		
DRG 24	67	68

Winthrop Hospital Explanation of Discrepancies

Winthrop Hospital reported discrepancies in the areas of # of Discharges, DRGs, Accommodation Charges, and Ancillary Charges. The hospital has provided the following additional corrections to their FY1991 verification report.

Category	MRSC	Hospital
Number of Discharges		
	2,716	2,715
Accommodation Days		
Total	25,479	24,960
Med/Surg	14,211	14,367
Psychiatric	11,268	10,593
Accommodation Charges		
Med/Surg	7,077,908	7,099,380
Psychiatric	6,432,130	6,069,705
Ancillary Charges		
Total	8,344,913	8,415,340

SECTION IV

<u>Data Discrepancies</u>: Hospitals reported discrepancies as listed in the following categories.

No. Of Discharges

Faulkner Hospital
Goddard Memorial Hospital
Hubbard Regional Hospital
Lahey Clinic
Somerville Hospital
Waltham/Weston Hospital
Winthrop Hospital

Month of Discharge

Faulkner Hospital
Hubbard Regional Hospital
Lahey Clinic
Waltham/Weston Hospital

Discharges By Payor

Children's Hospital
Faulkner Hospital
Framingham Union Hospital
Holy Family Hospital
Hubbard Regional Hospital
Lahey Clinic
New England Deaconess Hospital
Noble Hospital

Length of Stay

Faulkner Hospital Harrington Memorial Hospital Lahey Clinic UMass. Medical Center

Number of Diagnosis Codes Used per Patient

Beth Israel Hospital Faulkner Hospital Lahey Clinic

Number of Procedure Codes Used per Patient

Beth Israel Hospital Faulkner Hospital Lahey Clinic

<u>**Data Discrepancies**</u>: Hospitals reported discrepancies as listed in the following categories.

Type of Admission
Faulkner Hospital
Holy Family Hospital
Hubbard Regional Hospital
Lahey Clinic

Age

Faulkner Hospital Holy Family Hospital Lahey Clinic

LOA Patients

Faulkner Hospital Martha's Vineyard Hospital

LOA Days

Emerson Hospital Faulkner Hospital Martha's Vineyard Hospital

Disposition

Faulkner Hospital Hubbard Regional Hospital Lahey Clinic

Accommodation Charges

Harrington Memorial Hospital Lahey Clinic Noble Hospital Winthrop Hospital

Ancillary Charges

Hubbard Regional Hospital Lahey Clinic Ludlow Hospital Society Noble Hospital Sturdy Memorial Hospital Winthrop Hospital

<u>**Data Discrepancies**</u>: Hospitals reported discrepancies as listed in the following categories.

Source of Admission

Faulkner Hospital Lahey Clinic Ludlow Hospital Society UMass. Medical Center

Sex

Faulkner Hospital Lahey Clinic

Total Patient Days

Faulkner Hospital
Lahey Clinic
Massachusetts General Hospital
Noble Hospital
North Adams Regional Hospital

Race

Baystate Medical Center Faulkner Hospital Lahey Clinic

DRGs

Beth Israel Hospital
Dana Farber Cancer Institute*
Faulkner Hospital
Lahey Clinic
Massachusetts General Hospital
Noble Hospital
St. John's Hospital of Lowell*
Somerville Hospital
Winthrop Hospital
Waltham/Weston Hospital

MDCs

Beth Israel Hospital
Dana Farber Cancer Institute*
Faulkner Hospital
Lahey Clinic
St. John's Hospital of Lowell*

^{* -} The hospital used the Version VIII grouper instead of the Version II grouper when making comparisons to the Verification Report.

SECTION V

<u>List of Hospitals that Did Not Respond to the Verification Report</u> (Neither Response A Nor Response B)

Adcare Hospital

Addison Gilbert Hospital

Anna Jaques Hospital

Athol Memorial Hospital

Beverly Hospital

Burbank Hospital

Cambridge Hospital

Carney Hospital

Charlton Memorial Hospital

Clinton Hospital

Glover Memorial Hospital

Hahnemann Hospital

Heritage Hospital

Hillcrest Hospital

Jordan Hospital

Milford-Whitinsville Hospital

New England Medical Center

Quincy Hospital

St. Joseph's Hospital

St. Luke's Hospital of New Bedford

St. Margaret's Hospital

Salem Hospital

Southwood Community Hospital

Winchester Hospital

Worcester City Hospital

SECTION VI

Unacceptable Data File

For Fiscal Year 1991, all hospitals submitted four quarters of acceptable data. Therefore, there is no information included in this file.

SECTION VII

Attachment I

$\frac{\text{MASSACHUSETTS RATE SETTING COMMISSION}}{\text{RESPONSE SHEET } \Delta}$

(HOSPITAL)
General Instructions
Fully review your hospital's FY1991 merged case mix and charge data verification report. If you agree that the data as it appears in the verification report is the data that was submitted to the Commission by the hospital on its quarterly merged case mix tapes, and if you agree that this data accurately represents the hospital's case mix profile, then you must complete and return this form to the Massachusetts Rate Setting Commission (MRSC). If the MRSC has not received the completed form by Friday May 29, 1992 , when the general documentation is published, your hospital's name will be listed among those who did not respond. The hospital may submit additional comments to the Commission if it so desires.
I, WITH AUTHORITY SPECIFICALLY (Please print full name) VESTED IN ME BY THE GOVERNING BODY, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE EXAMINED AND VERIFIED THE DATA CONTAINED IN THE MRSC'S FY'91 MERGED CASE MIX AND CHARGE DATA VERIFICATION REPORT AND THAT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, THE DATA IS ACCURATE AND COMPLETE. THIS DECLARATION IS BASED UPON ALL INFORMATION OF WHICH I HAVE KNOWLEDGE. THIS CERTIFICATION IS SIGNED UNDER THE PAINS AND PENALTIES OF PERJURY.
SIGNED: TITLE: DATE:
If the Commission has any questions regarding the hospital's response, it should contact M_{-} at () (Name)

Mr. Paul Henry, Data Analyst

Two Boylston Street Boston, MA 02116

The Massachusetts Rate Setting Commission

Return to:

Attachment II

$\frac{\text{MASSACHUSETTS RATE SETTING COMMISSION}}{\text{RESPONSE SHEET B}}$

	(HOSPITAL)
General Instru	uctions
have noted any hospital must a These commer additional com completed forr hospital's nam	our hospital's FY1991 merged case mix and charge data verification report. If you discrepancies in the data, then you must complete the following statement. Your also supply the proper figures and provide comments to explain the discrepancies. Its must be typed and submitted with this form. The hospital may submit aments to the Commission if it so desires. If the MRSC has not received the m by Friday May 29, 1992, when the general documentation is published, your e will be listed among those who did not respond. Your hospital may also miss rtunity to correct your case mix data.
documentation	by figures and comments accompanying this response sheet will comprise the entire of your hospital's FY'91 merged case mix and charge data submissions and will as is to all qualified users of the data base.
THE G THE D DATA EXCEI # of D Montl LOA Dispo Accor I HAV THESE THE H BASEI	WITH AUTHORITY SPECIFICALLY VESTED IN ME BY se print full name) OVERNING BODY, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE EXAMINED AND VERIFIED ATA CONTAINED IN THE MRSC'S FY'91 MERGED CASE MIX AND CHARGE VERIFICATION REPORT AND THAT THE DATA IS ACCURATE AND COMPLETE PT FOR THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES: Discharges Type of Adm Source of Adm nof Discharge Age Sex Race Payor Patients LOA Days MDCs Length of Stay sition DRGs # Diagnosis Codes Used per Patient nn. Charges # of Procedure Codes Used per Patient Ancill. Charges E ATTACHED CORRECTED DATA AND SPECIFIC INFORMATION AS TO WHY E DATA DIFFER FROM THE ORIGINAL DATA SUBMITTED TO THE MRSC BY OSPITAL ON ITS QUARTERLY CASE MIX TAPES. THIS DECLARATION IS DUPON ALL INFORMATION OF WHICH I HAVE KNOWLEDGE. THIS FICATION IS SIGNED UNDER THE PAINS AND PENALTIES OF PERJURY.
	S: :: :: ssion has any questions regarding the hospital's response, it should contact
M	at ()
Datamet	(Name)
Return to:	Mr. Paul Henry, Data Analyst The Massachusetts Bate Setting Commission
	The Massachusetts Rate Setting Commission Two Poylston Street
	Two Boylston Street
	Boston, MA 02116

Attachment III

TYPE A ERRORS

Record Type

Submitter ID Number

Receiver ID

DPH Hospital Computer Number

Type of Batch

Period Starting Date

Period Ending Date

Patient Medical Record Number

Patient Sex

Patient Birthdate

Patient Over 100 Years Old

Admission Date

Discharge Date

Patient Status

Billing Number

Claim Certificate Number

Source of Payment

Revenue Code

Units of Service

Total Charges (by Revenue Code)

Principal Diagnosis Code

Associate Diagnosis Code (I-IV)

Principal Procedure Code

Significant Procedure Codes (I-II)

Number of ANDs

Physical Record Count

Record Type 2x Count

Record Type 3x Count

Record Type 4x Count

Record Type 5x Count

Total Charges: Special Services Total Charges: Routine Services

Total Charges: Ancillaries

Total Charges: All Charges

Number of Discharges

Total Charges Accommodations

Submitter Employer Identification Number

Number of Providers on Tape

Count of Batches

Batch Counts (11, 22, 33, 99)

Attachment III - Continued

TYPE B ERRORS

Patient Race
Type of Admission
Source of Admission
Patient Zip Code
Attending Physician Number
Operating Physician Number
Date of Principal Procedure
Date of Significant Procedures

Attachment IV

Contents of Hospital Verification Report Package

- Seven Page Frequency Distribution Report containing the following data elements:
 - Total Number of Discharges
 - Type of Admission
 - Source of Admission
 - Month of Discharge
 - Age
 - Sex
 - Race
 - Payor
 - Leave of Absence Patients
 - Leave of Absence Days
 - Total Days
 - Length of Stay
 - Average Length of Stay
 - Disposition Status
 - Number of Diagnosis Codes Used per Patient
 - Number of Procedure Codes Used per Patient
 - Accommodation Charge Information
 - Ancillary Charge Information
- Complete Listing of Discharges per DRG
- Top 20 DRG's in Rank Order
- Major Diagnostic Categories (MDC's) in Rank Order
- Response Sheets A & B: Completed by hospitals and returned to the Rate Setting Commission

Attachment V Hospital Listing With the Department of Public Health Computer Facility Numbers

DPH Facility	Hospital Name
Number	-
2202	Adcare Hospital of Worcester
2016	Addison Gilbert
2078	Amesbury
2006	Anna Jaques
2226	Athol Memorial
2073	Atlanticare Medical Center
2339	Baystate Medical Center
2313	Berkshire Medical Center
2069	Beth Israel
2007	Beverly
2307	Boston City
2921	Brigham & Women's
2118	Brockton
2034	Burbank
2108	Cambridge
2135	Cape Cod
2311	Cardinal Cushing General
2003	Carney
2337	Charlton Memorial
2139	Children's
2126	Clinton
2155	Cooley Dickinson
2335	Dana Farber Cancer Institute
2018	Emerson
2052	Fairview
2289	Falmouth
2048	Faulkner
2020	Framingham Union
2120	Franklin Medical
2054	Glover Memorial
2101	Goddard Hospital
2091	Hahnemann of Boston
2143	Harrington Memorial
2131	Hale
2036	Henry Heywood
2119	Heritage*
2231	Hillcrest

Attachment V Hospital Listing With the Department of Public Health Computer Facility Numbers

DPH Facility	Hospital Name
Number	•
2225	Holy Family**
2145	Holyoke
2157	Hubbard Regional
2082	Jordan
2033	Lahey Clinic
2099	Lawrence General
2038	Lawrence Memorial
2127	Leominster
2039	Leonard Morse
2040	Lowell General
2160	Ludlow Hospital Society
2041	Malden
2103	Marlborough
2042	Martha's Vineyard
2148	Mary Lane
2167	Mass. Eye & Ear
2168	Mass. General
2077	Medical Center of Central Mass. ***
2058	Melrose-Wakefield
2149	Mercy
2105	Milford-Whitinsville
2227	Milton
2022	Morton Health Foundation, Inc.
2071	Mt. Auburn
2044	Nantucket Cottage
2298	Nashoba Community
2059	N. E. Baptist
2092	N. E. Deaconess
2299	N.E. Medical Center
2060	N. E. Memorial
2075	Newton-Wellesley
2076	Noble
2061	North Adams Regional
2114	Norwood
2150	Providence
2151	Quincy
2011	St. Anne's
2085	St. Elizabeth's

Attachment V Hospital Listing With the Department of Public Health Computer Facility Numbers

DPH Facility	Hospital Name
Number	
2029	St. John's of Lowell
2063	St. Joseph's
2010	St. Luke's of New Bedford
2065	St. Margaret
2128	St. Vincent
2014	Salem****
2001	Somerville
2107	South Shore
2856	Southwood Community
2100	Sturdy Memorial
2171	J.B. Thomas
2106	Tobey
2084	University
2841	UMass. Med. Center
2067	Waltham/Weston
2046	Whidden Memorial
2094	Winchester
2181	Wing Memorial
2013	Winthrop Community
2125	Worcester City

- * Formerly Central Hospital
- ** Formerly Bon Secours Hospital
- *** Merger of Holden District, Worcester Hahnemann, and Worcester Memorial Hospitals
- **** Merger of Salem and North Shore Children's Hospitals